



Division of Capital Asset Management Standard Contractor Evaluation Form

IMPORTANT – Please check (✓) if this evaluation is for:

Prime/General Contractor

Subcontractor

Section I- General Project Information - Section I must be completed in its entirety.

Reference Name: Walter Tamukong	Reference Telephone #: 202-708-0012
Reference Position/ Title: Environmental Engineer	Date: October 6th, 2009
Reference Agency/ Firm: General Service Administration	DCAM Interviewer (if any):
Name of Contractor Being Evaluated: Veterans Development Corporation, Inc. 144 Lincoln Street Norwell, MA 02061	Total Contract Cost With Change Orders: \$782,475.00 (if change order amount unknown for subcontractor then estimate as 5% of subcontract amount)
Project Title: West End Heating Plant Tank & Oil Plant Farm	Contract Start/ End Dates: 5-1-2009 to 9-25-2009 Actual Completion Date:
Scope of Work: Repair, Replace and refurbish tanks, piping & Equipment at the West Heating Plant also provide water Separator	
Project Location (city and state): Washington, DC	

*******Important*******

Please check (✓) if this is a :

Preliminary Evaluation (50% complete); or
 Final Evaluation (once use and/or occupancy, or issuance of a certificate of use and/or occupancy, or contract termination have been achieved, whichever is earlier)

Please check (✓) if:

The project was estimated to be greater than \$1.5M dollars and required an Owner's Project Manager.

Did the contractor execute this project using their own employees? Yes No

Section II- Evaluation Questionnaire

Please rate this contractor's performance in each of the following areas. If you need additional space, attach additional sheets. If you rate the contractor below "satisfactory" in any area, please provide detailed information to explain the rating assigned. You are not restricted to using the numerical values (points) shown and may score in between the points shown. A total of 80 points are required for a passing grade.

1. Quality of Workmanship (0-28 points)

Carry over points

here ↓

Rate the quality of this contractor's workmanship. Were there quality-related or workmanship problems on the contract? Was the contractor responsive to remedial work required? If so or if not, provide specific examples.

unacceptable 0 points poor 14 points satisfactory 24 points very good 26 points excellent 28 points

→ 26 points

comments: _____

2. Project Management

a) **Scheduling-** (0-13 points) Rate this contractor's performance with regard to adhering to contract schedules. Did this contractor meet the contract schedule or the schedule as revised by approved change orders? If not, was the delay attributable to this contractor? If so, provide specific examples.

unacceptable 0 points poor 7 points satisfactory 11 points very good 12 points excellent 13 points

→ 12 points

comments: _____

b) **Subcontractor Management-** (0-13 points) Rate this contractor's ability, effort and success in managing and coordinating subcontractors (if no subcontractors, rate this contractor's overall project management). Was this contractor able to effectively resolve problems? If not, provide specific examples.

unacceptable 0 points poor 6 points satisfactory 11 points very good 12 points excellent 13 points

→ 12 points

comments: _____

c) **Safety and Housekeeping Procedures-** (0-9 points) Rate this contractor's safety and housekeeping procedures on this project. Were there any OSHA violations or serious safety accidents? If so, provide specific examples.

unacceptable 0 points poor 3 points satisfactory 7 points very good 8 points excellent 9 points

→ 8 points

comments: _____

d) **Change Orders- (0-9 points)** Did this contractor unreasonably claim change orders or extras? Were this contractor's prices on change orders and extras reasonable? If not, provide specific examples.

unacceptable 0 points poor 3 points satisfactory 7 points very good 8 points excellent 9 points

→ 8 points

comments: _____

e) **Working Relationships- (0-7 points)** Rate this contractor's working relationships with other parties (i.e. Awarding Authority, designer, subcontractors, etc.). Did this contractor relate to other parties in a professional manner? If not, give specific examples.

unacceptable 0 points poor 2 points satisfactory 5 points very good 6 points excellent 7 points

→ 6 points

comments: _____

f) **Paperwork Processing- (0-7 points)** Rate this contractor's performance in completing and submitting required project paperwork (i.e. change orders, submittals, drawings, requisitions, payrolls, workforce reports, etc.). Did the contractor submit the required paperwork promptly and in proper form? If not, provide specific examples.

unacceptable 0 points poor 2 points satisfactory 5 points very good 6 points excellent 7 points

→ 6 points

comments: _____

g. On-Site Supervisory Personnel Rating (0-14 points)

a) **General Performance-** Rate the general performance of this contractor's on-site supervisory personnel. Did the superintendent(s) have the knowledge, management skills and experience to run a project of this size and scope? If not, provide specific examples.

unacceptable 0 points poor 3 points satisfactory 10 points very good 12 points excellent 14 points

→ 14 points

comments: _____

Please add up all points from this page and the preceding pages and enter total here: 92

Section III- Legal and Administrative Proceedings

Are you aware of any legal or administrative proceedings, invoked bonds, assessed damages, demands for direct payment, payment bond claims, contract failures, contract terminations, or penalties involving this contractor on this contract? What is the status of any pending litigation? What was the final outcome of any completed litigation? What are the dollar amounts of assessed damages or penalties?

comments:

None of the mentioned administrative proceedings or legal proceedings mentioned above have been an issue with this Contractor to the best of my knowledge. All proceedings to my knowledge has gone well and any requested documents were furnished correctly and on time.

Section IV- Evaluator Certification

I certify that the information contained in this evaluation form represents, to the best of my knowledge, a true analysis of this contractor's performance record on this contract.

I also certify that I have no ties with this contractor either through a business or family relationship.

I have mailed a copy of this completed evaluation form to the contractor on 02/17/2010
(Public Awarding Authorities must mail a copy of this completed evaluation form to the contractor).

For Public Projects below \$1,500,000, this form must be signed by the Awarding Authority and may be signed by the Owner's Representative (i.e. Architect/Designer) in conjunction with the Awarding Authority:

<u>for Walter Tamukong</u> Signature of Awarding Authority	<u>WALTER TAMUKONG</u> Print Name and Title	<u>02/17/2010</u> Date
---	--	---------------------------

_____ Signature of Awarding Authority's Representative (optional)	_____ Print Name and Title	_____ Date
---	-------------------------------	---------------

For Public Projects above \$1,500,000 bid under M.G.L., c. 149, § 44A 1/2, this form must be signed by the Owner's (Awarding Authority's) Project Manager and the Awarding Authority:

_____ Signature of Owner's (Awarding Authority's) Project Manager	_____ Print Name and Title	_____ Date
---	-------------------------------	---------------

_____ Signature of Awarding Authority	_____ Print Name and Title	_____ Date
--	-------------------------------	---------------

Section V- Additional Comments

Comments: _____

